EXHIBIT 19

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION, CRIMINAL PART BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. 95-07-00889 A.D. #____ STATE OF NEW JERSEY, Plaintiff, vs. TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL JAMIE FARTHING, Defendant. Place: Bergen County Courthouse Hackensack, NJ 07601 Date: November 6, 1996 **BEFORE:** HONORABLE TIMOTHY J. SULLIVAN, J.S.C. AND JURY TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY: DEBORAH COLLINS, ESQ. (Office of the Public Defender) **APPEARANCES:** PATRICIA BAGLIVI, ESQ. (Assistant Prosecutor) Attorney for the State of New Jersey JOHN WEICHSEL, ESQ. Attorney for the Defendant Transcriber Dolores Hastings KEMCO TRANS, INC. P.O. Box 900 Clark, New Jersey 07066 (908) 382-8500

Video Recorded

Recording Operator, L. Ostapeck

Colloguy

Tells the jury that she's in jail? MS. BAGLIVI: 1 THE COURT: You want that now? 2 MS. BAGLIVI: Just -- just on the record, you know, 3 just so we --4 THE COURT: Somewhere along the line. 5 Right, that's fine. MS. BAGLIVI: 6 MR. WEICHSEL: Somewhere along the line, judge. 7 Judge, I reviewed --8 THE COURT: I pulled these right out of Harris. 9 On question one, where you say MR. WEICHSEL: Okay. 10 it is within the provinces of the jury to accept or reject a 11 psychiatric expert's opinion, could -- since I'm also using a 12 psychologist could you put a psychologist of psychiatric expert 13 opinion? 14 I'm going to read these things. THE COURT: Sure. 15 I understand that. MR. WEICHSEL: 16 I'm not going to hand them out. 17 THE COURT: I understand that. Then could you also MR. WEICHSEL: 18 ask a question, would you be able to accept a psychologist's of 19 psychiatric expert opinion if you believe it to be meritorious, 20 because the question can you disregard it if you conclude that 21 (inaudible) -- we don't have -- you don't have any, you know, 22 you don't ask it the other way. 23 THE COURT: Say that again, that -- question two or 24 25 what?

MR. WEICHSEL: Well with question one, would you 1 disregard a psychiatric opinion if you conclude --2 THE COURT: Oh, I see --3 THE COURT: -- psychological --4 THE COURT: -- psychological. 5 MR. WEICHSEL: Would you be able to accept a 6 psychologist's or a psychiatric expert opinion if you believe 7 it to be meritorious, or --8 THE COURT: It lacked merit. 9 MR. WEICHSEL: What? 10 THE COURT: I say there, "Would you be able to 11 disregard a psychiatric or psychological opinion if you 12 concluded that if lacked merit. 13 MR. WEICHSEL: I'd like you to also ask in the 14 positive, would you be able to accept such an opinion if you 15 believe the -- you know, that it was meritorious. I mean there 16 are some people that just don't accept psychology or 17 18 psychiatry. THE COURT: Yeah. 19 MR. WEICHSEL: The think it's all bunk. 20 THE COURT: I -- that's the next question. "Do you 21 have any opinion or preconceived notion as or ideas about 22 psychiatry, psychiatry in general, psychology which would 23

hinder or otherwise influence your ability to evaluate

psychiatric testimony in a fair and impartial -- on a based

24

manner -- unbiased manner." 1 2 MR. WEICHSEL: But it -- I think if you said would 3 they be able to accept --4 THE COURT: Unbiased manner. 5 MR. WEICHSEL: It really doesn't say would they be 6 able to accept such a thing. You know, you ask them would you 7 reject such an opinion and -- but you never really ask them 8 could they accept such an opinion. 9 MS. BAGLIVI: But I think it does in the second guestion. I think --10 11 THE COURT: Well I'll do it, I have no problem with that, or the other reverse is would you be able to accept it if 12 you felt it lacked merit -- that it -- it was meritorious. 13 14 MR. WEICHSEL: Then on the next two where you have, "Have you or anyone close to you ever sough the assistance of a 15 psychiatrist or a psychologist." There are therapists that do 16 mental health services that are not psychiatrists or 17 psychologists such as --18 19 THE COURT: No, here's what we'll do with that, Mr. 20 21 MR. WEICHSEL: Yeah. THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever 22

sought the assistance of a psychiatrist or psychologist, raise your hands; all right, come up to sidebar and tell us.

23

24

25

MR. WEICHSEL: Well what happens if somebody like a

therapist, like a social worker, is not a psychologist or 1 2 psychiatrist or they answer that no but they've had therapy? 3 -- could you just put in there or other type of therapist. 4 THE COURT: Now you're talking about then -- why --5 why do you want that question let me ask you. You know, we've got social workers, therapists here. Well let's talk about 6 7 that, you were going to --8 MR. WEICHSEL: Yeah. I have a social worker who I'm 9 going to plan to call to testify and I'm doing some research on I plan to have a memorandum to -- to you by -- by Monday 10 or Tuesday, judge -- well Monday's a holiday, by Tuesday on 11 that. 12 THE COURT: It would -- now what you have informed us 13 14 is that you have a social worker who has done some investigative work --15 MR. WEICHSEL: Investigative work. 16 THE COURT: -- in this case and you're asking that 17 that -- that she or he be a test -- a witness. 18 MR. WEICHSEL: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: And there's a question as to whether --21 what can they testify to. MR. WEICHSEL: That's right, and I'm going to give 22 23 you --THE COURT: As an expert on what? 24

MR. WEICHSEL: Well I'm going to be submitted a

10-0	-003/2-CCC Document 11-19 Flied 02/10/11 Fage 8 0/ 28 FageiD. 1284
į	Colloquy 7
1	memorandum on that, judge.
2	MR. WEICHSEL: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: All right, I'm just going to say have you
4	or anyone else in ever sought the assistance of a
5	psychiatrist or a psychologist or any other therapist.
6	MR. WEICHSEL: Fine.
7	THE COURT: Then family therapist.
8	MR. WEICHSEL: It's all mental health related, judge.
9	THE COURT: Yeah, I have no problem with that.
10	MR. WEICHSEL: Some people don't even know what the
11	status is.
12	THE COURT: Anything else with regard to this?
13	MR. WEICHSEL: I guess then the last question you put
14	in the word therapist too then I guess.
15	THE COURT: Well that's again with the fact that
16	that you or someone close to you sought the assistance or a
17	of a psychiatrist or psychologist effect or influence your
18	judgment in this case should psychiatric testimony be
19	presented. If they say yes well then we call them sidebar
20	MR. WEICHSEL: Okay.
21	THE COURT: and say well how are they going to
22	affect you?

MR. WEICHSEL: That's fine, judge, okay.

23

24

25

THE COURT: If they say no, that's it, we'll just use them. Anything else?

10-cv	-00572-CCC D	ocument 11-19 Filed 02/10/11 Page 9 of 28 PageID: 1285
		Colloquy 8
1		R. WEICHSEL: Judge, I have a I have a witness
3	list.	HE COURT: What did you do, blow a fuse or what?
4	M	R. WEICHSEL: No.
5	М	S. BAGLIVI: Ut-oh, is that mine or yours?
6	М	R. WEICHSEL: It must be the microphone.
7	М	S. BAGLIVI: It's your microphone?
. 8	М	R. WEICHSEL: It works.
9	T	HE COURT: Still you're not going to get an
10	adjournment	•
11	м	R. WEICHSEL: What?
12	T	HE COURT: You're not going to get an adjournment.
13	М	R. WEICHSEL: No.
14	T	HE COURT: Even if you kick out the speaker.
15	M	R. WEICHSEL: You know, it's just I just have to
16	remember it	's there.
17	T	HE COURT: All right, I have do you have a list
18	of his witn	esses here?
19	м	S. BAGLIVI: Yes, judge, I can it to Lucy, I gave
20	her copies	
21	T	HE COURT: His his.

MR. WEICHSEL: Yeah.

MS. BAGLIVI: Oh, I saw it.

THE COURT: I think there's some on your list; Jason

22

23

24

02/10/11	age 10	01 20 1	ageib.	
Colloquy				

1	MS. BAGLIVI: Farthing.
2	THE COURT: Is he on your list?
3	MS. BAGLIVI: He might be on my list also, judge. I
4	put everybody's name that might be
5	THE COURT: You have Kathy, Paul Farthing. You don't
6	have Jessie and Jason?
7	MR. WEICHSEL: No.
8	THE COURT: All right, and then you have Billy oh,
9	that's Feinberg?
10	MR. WEICHSEL: Billy Feinberg, she's a social worker.
11	THE COURT: That's the social worker.
12	MR. WEICHSEL: Okay.
13	THE COURT: And Jonathan
14	MR. WEICHSEL: Kainman, K-A-I-N-M-A-N.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Did you go to school in New York
16	City?
17	MR. WEICHSEL: No, I went to school in Teaneck,
18	judge.
19	THE COURT: Yeah; see, if you went to New York you'd
20	have penmanship that I can understand. And if you went to
21	parochial school you'd even have a real Palmer method.
22	Jonathan Klineman from Philadelphia, and Arnolo
23	MR. WEICHSEL: Arnoldo Apolito.
24	THE COURT: Oh, Apolito, sure, okay, doctor. All
25	right, you can bring up the should we bring up the

LO-cv	-00572-CCC Document 11-19 Filed 02/10/11 Page 11 of 28 PageID: 1287 Colloquy 10
1	defendant? Let's bring her up and I'll mention to her the fact
2	that all I'll take a plea anytime during the trial.
3	MS. BAGLIVI: Judge, this is going to be a good one.
4	THE COURT: I know it's a good one. I know I
5	know, but
6	MS. BAGLIVI: It's interesting. It's not
7	MR. WEICHSEL: I mean Patty wants Patty wants to
8	show that videotape in the worst way.
9	THE COURT: I want we're not going to use that
10	tape.
11	MR. WEICHSEL: What?
12	MS. BAGLIVI: Well we are the beginning of it, the
13	computer stuff. It has my victim's computer company on it and
14	then you said you would tell I would stop it at that point
15	and you would tell the jury that the rest of the videotape
16	depicts, you know
17	THE COURT: I did? I didn't say that at all, I said
18	you were going to look at it.
19	MS. BAGLIVI: Yeah, he did look at it.
20	MR. WEICHSEL: It's gross. That's all I can say,
21	it's gross. It has nothing to do with my client.

21 it's gross. It has nothing to do with my client.

THE COURT: I don't know how we're going to do that.

(PAUSE)

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Ms. Jamie Rene Farthing, is that right? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

Colloguy

11

THE COURT: All right, good morning, Ms. Farthing. 2 We're ready to begin this trial wherein you're charged with various charges of kidnapping and murder, weapons charges. And there have been offers made by the prosecution with regard to a I just want to make sure you understand that my -- the information I have received that you have been unable to reach an agreement as to that plea offers and it's your desire to go to trial on that, is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right, we will begin picking a jury here today and you have -- you have discussed this with your 12 attorney? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: All right. And it's your desire to 14 continue to go to trial? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: All right, you know the ramifications of 17 possible punishment that may be --18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: -- imposed as a result if you're found 20 quilty? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: You do? All right. All right, I'm going 23

to step out of the courtroom and I'll have the panel brought

25

in.

24

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors 1

THE COURT OFFICER: They're all ready, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. Do you have hand cuffs on?

THE COURT OFFICER: No, she has nothing on.

THE COURT: Okay. And have the panel brought in and then when they are brought in I will then return. Let me just get my books out here.

(PAUSE)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, please be seated? Well yesterday was Election Day and it was the -- I hope most of you exercised your right, the precious rights you have in this country to -- to vote. Today you'll have another precious right, you'll serve as jury -- as jurors. The two rights we have with a jury trial that citizens have available to them and also voting. So you're participating in the very heart of our republic. My name is Timothy J. Sullivan, and I'm a Judge of the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, you have been brought here today so that we may select a jury for this case which is entitled the State of New Jersey versus Jamie Farthing, it's F-A-R-T-H-I-N-G is the way you spell the last name. And we are about to begin a process called a voir dire. And the purpose of a voir dire is very simple, it's to obtain a jury which is able to hear this case without any bias, any prejudice, or any preconceived ideas. In short, the idea is to select a fair

1||jury.

Now, members of the panel, we are here to try the matter in dispute between the State of New Jersey and Jamie Farthing. This is a criminal case and there are various charges. There are charges of kidnapping, there's charges of murder, charges involving weapons. I'll read you the indictment which was handed up July of 1995 by a Grand Jury.

The first count -- that's the first charge, there are various charges here now -- the Grand Jurors charged that Jamie Farthing on or about August 4 of 1994 in Hackensack did unlawfully confine Robert Hippman, H-I-P-P-M-A-N, for a substantial period for purpose of facili -- to facilitate the commission of a crime or flight thereafter and did fail to release the said Robert Hipp -- Hippman unharmed and/or in a safe place prior to apprehension, and that's contrary to the statute 2C:13.

The second count of the indictment charges that Jamie Farthing, on or about August 4th of 1994 in Hackensack in the course of committing a theft did use force upon Robert Hippman while armed with a deadly weapon con -- contrary to provisions of 2C:15-1, that's called Armed Robbery.

Count three, Jamie Farthing on or about August 4 of 1994 in Hackensack is charged that within the jurisdiction of this court she knowingly and unlawfully did possess certain weapon to wit an H&R 32 caliber revolver and a Rossi 38 caliber

person or property of another contrary to the statute 2C:39-4.

revolver with the purpose to use it unlawfully against the

The fourth charge, the fourth count, the Grand Jurors charge that Jamie Farthing on or about August 4, 1994 in Hackensack, that she knowingly and unlawfully did possess certain weapons to wit an H&R 32 caliber revolver and a Rossi 38 caliber revolver without having obtained a permit to carry same as provided by the statute.

The next count is that Mr. -- Ms. Farthing, on the following day, August 5th 1994, in the Borough of Edgewater is charged that she did purposely or knowingly cause the death with -- or serious bodily injury resulting in the death of James Polites contrary to the provisions of -- excuse me -- 2C:11-3A.

The next count is Ms. Farthing, Jamie Farthing, on or about August 5, 1994 in Edgewater did engage in the commission of the crime of kidnapping during which she or another caused the death of James Polites contrary to the provisions of 2C:11.

The next count, Jamie Farthing on August 5, 1994 in the Borough of Edgewater did engage in the commission of the crime of armed robbery during which she or another caused the death of James Polites contrary to the provisions of 2C:11-3A.

The next count is that James Farthing on or about

August 5, 1994 in the Borough of Edgewater, she's charged that

she did unlawfully confine James Polites for a substantial

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors

period with purpose of facilitate the commission of a crime or flight thereafter and/or inflict bodily injury on James Polites and did fail to release the said James Polites unharmed and/or in a safe place prior to apprehension, that's contrary to the statute.

Is it Polites or Polites?

MS. BAGLIVI: Polites.

THE COURT: It's Polites, I'm sorry; that's James Polites. It's P-O-L-I-T-E-S, it's pronounced Polites.

The next count is that Jamie Farthing, on or about

August 5 of 1994 in the Borough of Edgewater is charged that in

the course of committing a theft she did use force upon James

Polites and/or commit the crime of murder upon James Polites

while armed with a deadly weapon contrary to the statute.

The next count is that Jamie Farthing is charged that on August 5, 1994 in the Borough of Edgewater, she's charged with knowingly and unlawfully she did possess certain weapons to wit an H&R 32 caliber revolver and Rossi 38 caliber revolver with the purpose to use if unlawfully against the person or property of another.

And the final count, James Farthing is charged that on August 5 of 1994, that she knowingly and unlawfully did possess these weapons without having obtained a permit to carry same contrary to the statute.

Now that's the case. Now I realize that jury service

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors

may be new to some of you so a few preliminary remarks may prove to be helpful.

Now the first step in a jury trial is the selection of the jury. Now this process is important because both the State and the defendant are entitled to jurors who are impartial and agree to keep their minds open until the verdict is reached. Jurors may be as free as human -- or they must be as free as humanly possible from bias, prejudice or sympathy and must not be influenced by preconceived ideas.

Those of you selected as jurors on this case shall serve as judges of the facts. In other words, you will listen to the testimony of witnesses, examine any physical evidence introduced and thereafter determine the facts. I am the judge of the law and at the conclusion of this matter after the evidence has been presented and counsel have made their closing arguments to you I will tell you what the law is and your must apply that law to the facts in order to reach a fair an an impartial verdict.

Now although you may be qualified to serve as a juror in most cases, there may be something that could disqualify you in this case or make it embarrassing for you to serve. In order to learn this I will have to ask you questions. Now please understand that the questions I will ask you, they are for a legitimate purpose and they're not to simply pry into your personal lives or affairs. Do not hesitate to speak your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors

17

mind honestly and plainly. If it very important that you answer each question fully and truthfully and keep in mind that there is no right or wrong answer. Truthful and honest answers are necessary so that a fair and an impartial jury can be selected.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, as we mature we all to some extent develop certain biases and prejudices and fixed opinions and views. We develop these from our families, from other around us, the media and from our every day experiences. Now you are entitled to be who you are and to feel and think about things as you do. It is important to recognize any biases, prejudices, fixed opinions and views that you may have and to disclose them to me during jury selection. If for any reason my questions do not cover why you should or you would not be able to listen with an open mind to the evidence in this case or in -- or you're unable to reach a -- a fair and a impartial verdict it is necessary that you volunteer this information to me when you are questioned. If at any time during the jury selection process you wish to discuss anything with me concerning your ability to serve as a juror, you raise your hand and I will speak to you outside the presence of the other jurors but in the presence of the attorneys.

After I have questioned each of you, you may be excused as a juror by me if in my opinion there is a valid reason why you should not serve. Each attorney may also excuse

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors

18

a limited number of jurors without giving any reason for doing so. In the event you are excused please do not consider this an insult or take it personally, it is merely part of the process employed in selecting a jury as permitted by the law.

Now I have given you the -- a brief explanation as to the charges. Our best estimate as we discussed the length of this particular case, it should take a couple of weeks. tell you what we anticipate so we understand. We have a -- we hope to start the case either today or tomorrow and be here Friday. On Monday there's a Veteran's Day -- it's a holiday, it's a state holiday and the court's are closed, so court will be Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday of next week. The following week is the 18th, 19th, 20, 21, and now we're into the Thursday before the Thanksgiving week. We hope to be finished by that Thursday or at least Friday. If we're not we'll go into the Thanks -- the beginning of the Thanksgiving week which is Monday and Tuesday, the 25th and 26th. We should be finished with the case by that time. If everything falls the way we hope that it falls we should be finished before Thanksgiving, and that's the length of the case.

Now, on Friday, the 15th, I have other matters scheduled. On the 22nd of November I also have other matters scheduled; not this Friday, this Friday we will have testimony. So it's three weeks from today; today is Wednesday, almost -- actually two weeks, from the 6th to the 21st and possibly if we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors

don't get everything done we'll go into Monday and Tuesday of of Thanksgiving week, that's the length of the trial.

Let's talk about the indictment. You see the indictment that I read to you, the charges against or have been filed by the Grand Jury regarding Ms. Farthing is not evidence of any quilt. It's the -- the indictment is a step in the procedure to bring the matter before the court and jury for the -- for a jury's determination, ultimate determination as to whether she is guilty or not guilty of these charges. And that has to be decided on what you hear in this courtroom, nowhere else. So that fact that there's a indictment doesn't mean that there's any evidence of quilt. Again, I talked about the system we live under. We had Election Day yesterday and now jury duty, one of -- one of the principles of the trials in this country is that a defendant is presumed to be innocent. has pleaded not quilty to the charge and then she is presumed to be innocent. Now unless each and every essential element of the offenses that I mentioned are proved beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant may be -- must be found not guilty of these charges, and each charge will be considered separately.

Now the burden of proving each element of the charge beyond a reasonable doubt rests upon the State and that burden never shifts to the defendant. It is not the obligation or the duty of the defendant in a criminal case to prove her innocence or offer any proof relating to her innocence. And a reasonable

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors

doubt is an honest and reasonable uncertainty as to the guilt of the defendant existing in your minds after you have given full and impartial consideration to all of the evidence. Now that doubt may arise from the evidence itself or it may arise from the lack of evidence.

Now you will have to apply the law as I give it to you and regardless of your own personal feelings about it.

Again I remind you since this is a criminal case your verdict re -- any verdict returned by jurors must be unanimous. That means simply that all 12 jurors who may be selected to deliberate must agree upon any verdict returned to the court.

I'm going to introduce you to the attorneys involved,
I'm going to introduce you to the defendant, and then I'm going
to read a list of potential witnesses, possible witnesses.

That doesn't mean all of them will be called, but there may —
they may be call, there may be references made to them during
the trial and when I read those names to you if you recognize
any of them you make a mental note of it and if you're called
upon to serve as a juror in the case let us know how you
recognize that name and we'll discuss it at that time. First
I'm going to introduce you to the Prosecutor in this case who
is going to be representing the State of New Jersey throughout
these proceedings, Ms. Patricia Baglivi. Please stand, Ms.
Baglivi?

MS. BAGLIVI: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen,

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors

my name is Patricia Baglivi and I'm Assistant Prosecutor here in Bergen County.

THE COURT: Thank you. Now I'm going to ask the attorney for Ms. Farthing to arise, a Mr. John Weichsel.

Introduce yourself -- and now that's Mr. Weichsel, and alongside Mr. Weichsel is Ms. Farthing.

MR. WEICHSEL: Good afternoon, my name is John Weichsel, I'm an attorney here in Bergen County. This young woman next to me is Jamie Farthing and she -- she is from Conyers, Georgia.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'm going to read you list of names now, ladies and gentlemen. Listen for them, if you recognize any of them make a mental note and then tell us about it when we -- if we call your name.

There's a John Acunto, A-C-U-N-T-O, of Hohokus, New Jersey; a Loopey Anderson of Union City, Georgia; Luke Anderson of Union City, Georgia; Nate Batchi -- Bachino and Natali, it's -- the nickname I guess is Nate, N-A-T-E, Bachino of Fairview, New Jersey; Tina Ball of Fair -- of Fort Lee, New Jersey; Ann H. Hunter of Conyers, Georgia; Richard Stanford of Scotch Plains, New Jersey, Elizabeth Yancey of Long Island City in New York, Ruth Kehoe and Edith Mankowitz -- Man -- Mankowski, both of whom are from the Carteret Saving Bank in Oradell, New Jersey, they're employed there; Carmella Lesterstock and Leonard Menachino of Valley -- Valley Bank in Bergenfield;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors

22

Elsie Alexander of Albany, New York, Kathy Farthing of Convers. Georgia; Paul Farthing of Conyers, Georgia; Jason Farthing of Conyers, Georgia; Jessie Farthing of Conyers, Georgia; Karen Marie Hedley of Little Ferry, New Jersey; Robert Hippman, alleged victim as mentioned in the indictment of Hackensack, New Jersey; Iasuki Isamoro of New York City; Ethan Mayann, M-A-Y-A-N-N, of Englewood, New Jersey; Karen Ortega of Demerest, New Jersey; Edward Cummer, C-U-M-M-E-R, of Conyers, Georgia; Myonn Suh I think it's pronounced, the last name is S-U-H, Byone Suh and Ching Hwa, H-W-A, Suh, both of Hempstead, New York; Thomas Delgado of the Bronx, New York; Vincent Lupino of Edgewater, New Jersey; Leonard Marshall of Boca Raton, Florida; William Mooney of Little Falls, New Jersey; Magda Molena Rahey or Rahey or Brooklyn, New Jersey -- I'm sorry, Brooklyn, New York; Al Sambogna of Edgewater, New Jersey; Donald Sposa of Fort Lee, New Jersey; Paul Bishoff of Eatontown, New Jersey; Elizabeth Deagas of Edgewater, New Jersey; George Polites of Fort Lee, New Jersey; Stella Polites of Fort Lee, New Jersey; Peter Polites of Fort Lee, New Jersey; Michelle Jaris of New Rochelle, New York; Max Calmanowitz of New York City; Mario Jakobeno of Fort Lee; Dr. Steven Shemmering -- Shimmering of the Department of Psychiatry in the New Jersey Medical School in Newark; William S. Woodkich or Wookitch of the Bell Atlantic Nynex Mobil, that's from Bed --Bedminster, New Jersey; Steven Mallon of -- what's that,

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors 23

Chelsea Mini Storage of New York City.

And now there is -- there are also a list of police officers and members of the Prosecutor's Office who may be testifying in this case; Sergeant -- I'm sorry, Senior Investigator Terrance Alver -- these are from the Prosecutor's Office here -- Sergeant Thomas Goldrich -- Goldrick, I'm sorry; Senior Investigator Frank Kelaher; Lieutenant Roger Cane; Senior Investigator Carlos Rodriquez; Senior investigator John Hardigan; Senior Investigator Vincent Markowski; Sergeant Anthony D'Augostino -- Augustine, there's no O there; Sergeant Anthony D'Augustine; Investigator Joseph Harniak; and Lieutenant Michael Trahey.

From the Narcotics Task Force of Bergen County,
Investigator Salvatore Urato.

Rockdale County Sheriff's Department, I believe that would be -- that's in Georgia? Rockdale County in Georgia, Sheriff's Department, Investigator Michael Sellers of Conyers, Georgia.

United State's Marshal's Metro Fugitive Squad from
Atlanta Georgia is Investigator W.S. Robinson; Investigator
Orlando Whitehead; Investigator Bill Smith; Investigator Efrim
Davis.

From the Hackensack Police Department, Lieutenant

John Hines; Detective Sergeant Fred Poglisi; Detective Sergeant

Steven Molger; Detective Sergeant Huge Farley; and Police

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors Officer Scott Sibel. 1 2 From the Bergen County Sheriff's Department, Lieutenant Anthony Rovenda; Detective Chester Robinson; 3 Sheriff's Officer Henry Barca; Sheriff's Officer John Kennedy; 4 Detective Sergeant John Murphy; Detective Lieutenant Floyd 5 Dempsey; Corrections Officer Brian Shaw. 6 The Bergen County Police Department, Detective Robert 7 Depalmer. 8 From the Edgewater Police Department, Police Officer 9 Don Wright; Detective Sergeant Robert Bailey; Police Officer 10 Henry Webber; Police Officer Brian Gilmartin; Sergeant Dominick 11 V. Ray; Police Officer Edward Ring. 12 From the New York City Police Department, Detective 13 Frank Caruso. 14 From the F.B.I. Laboratory from Washington, D.C., 15 16 George Scaluba. From the Drug Enforcement Agency Task Force Detective 17 Lisa Barienzo; Detective Sal Palumbo. 18 From Suffolk County Police Department, Detective 19 William Donahue; Detective McAlvin; Detective Sergeant Edward 20 21 Fandrey, F-A-N-D-R-E-Y; Detective Robert J. Anderson. From the New York City Police Department, the Midtown 22 South Robbery Unit, Lieutenant Arthur Monahan; Sergeant Pat 23 McAndrew; Sergeant Al Reganhard; Sergeant Jim Martin; Detective 24

Al Throl; Detective Richard Briacky, Detective Joseph Deanin;

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors Detective Michael Duggan; and Detective Tommasi. 1 And from the Forensic Lab of the New York -- I'm 2 sorry, the New Jersey State Police Laboratory there's 3 Christopher H. Uber, forensic scientist -- senior forensics 4 scientist; Lowell R. Mark, senior forensic scientist; Joyce A. 5 Poliniak of the -- she the -- also a senior forensic scientist; 6 7 Nancy Wilkens, a document examiner. New Jersey State Police in Totowa, New Jersey, 8 Detective James R. Molinari -- Molinaro. 9 From the Bergen County Medical Examiner's Office, a 10 Dr. Sunandan Singh. 11 The Suffolk County Medical Examiner's Office, Dr. 12 Gweyn Holliman. 13 New York City Ballistics Lab in New York City Police 14 Department, Police Officer Joseph Rimerez. And then the Suffolk County Division of Medical, 16 Legal Investigations and Forensic Scientists, Jeffrey Lubor, an 17 analyst; Robert Bowman, an analyst; Linda Sherlock Reich, a 18 19 analyst; and Thomas D. Zaveski, Z-A-V-E-S-K-I, an analyst. Also, a Billy Fineberg, a social worker from Nanuet 20 New Jer -- New York; Joseph Kainman, psychologist from 21 Philadelphia; and a Arnoldo Apolito, a psychiatrist/medical 22 doctor from Montclair, New Jersey (names are phonetic). 23 Now that's the list. That doeesn't mean everybody's 24 goign to be called, but that's the list of potential witnesses. 25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The Court Addresses Prospective Jurors

All right, ladies and gentlemen, now as your name is

26

called I'm going to ask that you come up and set yourself in this jury box. Now the way you get up here is when your name is called you -- those of you on my right side or on the left side of the courtroom find your way up around the back. where my officer is standing? You come around the back and he'll show you where to go. So all of you figure it out now, if you name is called, how you're going to get up here without climbing over everybody, all right? The front -- the first row there of seats is empty for those of you who want to slip through there; that's why it's empty, so that you can kind of get up there. But you can come up the middle aisle and step over that way out that way or around this way. Do not come into the well of the court, just go around the back, that's the way you come in, all right? When your name is called now just answer here, let me know you're here, and then proceed up to where my officer is.

After you get through the first or second then you'll -- it becomes obvious how -- how it will work, all right?

(JURY SELECTION)

21

22

23

24

CERTIFICATIO

I, Dolores Hastings, the assigned transcriber, do hereby certify the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Bergen County Superior Court, Law Division, Criminal Part, on November 6, 1996, on tape number 179-96, index number from 01:05:18 to 01:54:23, is prepared in full compliance with the current Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a true and accurate non-compressed transcript of the proceedings as recorded.

Dolores Hastings

KEMCO TRANS, INC.

Agency Name

AOC Number

6/20/97

Date